

## THE HOGS HEARD AND SEEN



"It always makes me weary to hear people complaining of feeling unwell," said a man not a member of the medical profession to a Star reporter. "It's true that most people are suffering from colds or some form of the grip, but it is not necessary that they should show their bad feelings upon every friend they chance to meet. There was a time when I did the same thing, but I got braver over it, and now I always say I'm well."

"With me it was usually a case of imagination, as I found out later. Every time a friend would mention a remedy or I would go to a drugstore and squander my money, until the list of remedies was about exhausted. The last recommendation I listened to directed me to go to market and get herbs from one of the old Chinese apothecaries who deal in roots, barks and grasses. It was in the springtime and the rates were low, and I had thereby deprived him of a sale to the rag man."

"She gave me the herbs, and when I got home I was made the laughing stock of the family and was complained against by my boy because I had not bought my medicine in a bottle and had thereby deprived him of a sale to the rag man."

"The herb tea started me to thinking, and when I realized that I had spent more than \$100 in remedies and still could not find a cure, I felt I was being deceived. I felt I concluded to turn over a new leaf. That effect, if any, the herb tea had I cannot tell, but my condition changed, and the man who now hears me make a complaint will receive a good cash present."

Detectives Evans and Muller were sent on a journey through lower Maryland not long ago and their associates at police headquarters are still engaging in a bit of gossip about the trip. It was necessary for them to drive through Pocomoke and Salisbury while looking for a man who was wanted in this city to answer a criminal charge.

"The roads were in bad shape," remarked one of the sleuths upon his return, "and there was not even any foliage to vary the monotony of the long drive. We had driven a long distance without seeing anything but an occasional house and three hogs. The animals followed our team the same as a dog would follow his master over the road, and we were unable to explain the incident. When we made a stop and the animals seemed to have a desire to get at us we were more at sea than ever. It was only after some persuasion which force was used, that we were able to drive off the swine."

"An offer to offer any reason for being followed in this way," one of the detectives was asked.

"None whatever," was his response. "A resident of the time who happened to be present at the time the problem was being solved by the animals thought there was something to eat in the carriage."

"Can you explain the dogs at Onancock, Va.," he said. "The canines there never neglected to go to the river when they heard the whistle of the Washington excursion boat blow, for they knew that would get what was left from the dinner tables."

The Virginia dogs may have gotten scraps from the tables of the excursionists, but the Maryland hogs were sorely disappointed if they expected anything to eat from our carriage, for we were trying to solve the food problem when they were following us."

"One of the great curiosities to Americans who first visit the Philippines," said an "army" officer who has just returned after a three years' detail in the archipelago, "is the method of decoration used in the churches and cemeteries, especially in the matter of the statues of Christ and the Virgin. Almost all the statues of the Saviour in countries where the population is dark skinned are of an Ethiopian hue and bear the facial characteristics of the natives. It would never do to depict a Filipino Christ as a white man, for the reason that the natives would not understand or respect it as highly as they would a divine leader of their own race. And it follows that the model of Christ must also be a black, or at least of a dark hue."

"But one of the funniest things that ever caught my eye was a statue in a cemetery in Iloilo. There was a glass case as high as a man and perhaps four feet square placed in a prominent part of the city of the dead that caught and held the eye. Upon closer inspection the case was seen to contain a statue of a woman. She was garbed in the most elaborate fashion known to the Philippine dressmaker, and a modern hat of the cancan style was placed on her head. The broad brim fell away in a dashing style from her

left eye. The hat was the most attractive feature of it, who, being a really stylish creation copied, without a doubt, from some fashion book of New York styles. At the foot of this wonderful statue were representations of many of the animals of the Philippine forest, all coming up to worship it, and as a curiosity it had no competitor in the entire island. But the officers and soldiers of the army who were in the neighborhood, and who all went to see it, were at a loss to understand its meaning until a padre came to the rescue. He explained that it was a statue of the Virgin Mary, and the consternation of the Catholic soldiers may be imagined when they looked upon what they thought to be a desecration of a sacred subject.

"The padre, however, soon quelled their anger by explaining to them that the Philippine natives are much more devoted to their religious subjects than we could get, and that he would not appreciate a statue of the Virgin clad in the garments that are familiar to us as the garment of purity. He demanded more than a simple garment would about the form, and the priests had to respond to the demand in order to have their statues command respect. The customary garb of the Virgin is too much like that worn by the natives to appeal to them, and they would not readily admit the superiority of a being whose dress was more elaborate than their own. So it is as much a custom of the country to dress the Virgin in the raiment as it is to paint her with the face of a native. The natives, and I have heard of several other cases where statues of the Virgin were finished in the very latest creations of the modiste and milliner's art."

### Education in Japan.

From the Seattle Post-Intelligencer.

In no field of activity has Japan done so much as in that of education. Thanks to the private and temple schools, which have been in existence for centuries, as well as to the higher state universities, popular education has always been at a high level.

It is greatly to the credit of the Japanese physicians that ever since the middle of the eighteenth century they have applied themselves to the study of the Dutch language, thus opening a channel which has enabled the science of Europe to effect an entry among them. As early as 1807 Tokyo saw the creation of a sort of institute for foreign study, in which instruction was given at first in Dutch, then in English, French, German and even Russian languages. The chief achievement of this seminary was the compilation of an English-Japanese dictionary in 1858, the first European school of medicine was also established there. A quarter of a century ago Japan depended almost entirely upon foreign countries for a vast amount of professors and teachers, and the recruits are wholly drawn from native scholars. It scarcely requires to be shown that this new system of public education has proved a comparative success. The effective means of transforming Japan in the progressive sense intended by the government, that the ministry of education may be said to have done most to weld the nation into a harmonious whole. It may fairly be inferred that this wise and full development of Japan educationally is to be credited largely with the clever work now being performed by the Japanese naval commanders and government officials, the navy of Japan is now a powerful force, and in the march of the allied forces upon Peking.

### The Coming Presidential Election.

From the New York Commercial.

What the addition to the total number of voters in the country is likely to be this year is very hard to tell, but the reason that between 1896 and 1904, so far as the election returns show, there was practically no gain in the number of electors. From 1876 to 1880 there was a gain of 600,000; from 1880 to 1884, a gain of 1,000,000; from 1884 to 1888, a gain of 1,300,000; from 1888 to 1892, a gain of 500,000; and from 1892 to 1896, a gain of 500,000. Between 1876 and 1896 the population increased 65 per cent, or from 8,400,000 to 13,900,000.

Apparently owing to the fact that a vast multitude of voters did not go to the polls at all in 1900, the total popular vote in that year was only 40,000 greater than it was in 1896, and if anything like a full vote is polled next fall, there should be an enormous unprecedented increase in the total vote. In the eight years ended with November, 1896, this vote increased 2,600,000, and, as the population of the country has been steadily expanding since 1860, the total vote this year should show a far greater increase than that of 1896.

A thing that renders it extremely difficult to forecast what the increase in this vote may be is the fact that an exceptionally large number of immigrants have come to our shores in recent years. In the eight years ended with 1903 over 3,500,000 foreign-born persons were added to our population, and a considerable proportion of those persons is now naturalized, and they will take part in the next election. What the proportion may be, however, it is impossible to judge.

It is none too early for political leaders to begin to consider the fact that "first voters" are likely to constitute a more important factor in the approaching campaign than ever before, and as a consequence that the party which has the largest number of first voters will have a greater chance of success than any other.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.

Whether in this land of free men American citizens would or would not object to interference with their rights to feed their children, they chose to be free men.